



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/372,898	08/12/1999	SAM JOHNSON	09001.1000	1964

7590 07/06/2004

LAVA Group Law by Smith and Frohwein
P O Box 88148
Atlanta, GA 30346

EXAMINER

TODD, GREGORY G

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2157

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2004

14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/372,898

Applicant(s)

JOHNSON ET AL.

Examiner

Gregory G Todd

Art Unit

2157

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,6,33,45,56 and 93-104 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,6,33,45,56 and 93-104 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This is a third office action in response to applicant's amendment and request for continued examination filed, 08 April 2004, of application filed, with the above serial number, on 12 August 1999 in which claims 1, 6, 33, 45, and 47 have been amended, claims 2-5, 7-32, 34-44, 46-55, and 57-92 have been cancelled, and claims 94-104 have been added. Claims 1, 6, 33, 45, 56, and 93-104 are therefore pending in the application.

It is noted on page 1, it is stated claims 2-32 and 65-92 as having been cancelled, however, the office has on record of claims 16-32 and 65-92 as having been cancelled previously. Also, on page 7, claims 48-92 are listed as being cancelled, and claim 56 is labeled as claim 47 - the office recognizes 46-55 and 57-92 as cancelled and claim 47 as being claim 56.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the further partitioning of each content segment into one or more sub-segments; the response information being associated with only a portion of a previously delivered segment; the playback device including a user interface; the particular action being selected from a plurality of possible actions; the response indicating a request to initiate a purchase transaction; a response button; a time-stamp; wherein if the time-stamp indicates a first sub-segment

Art Unit: 2157

of the content segment, one action being done and if the time-stamp indicates a second sub-segment of the content segment, a different action is taken, must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 100 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim appears incomplete, where the time-stamp does indicate a relative portion of something left out.
4. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the portion of a currently active segment" in line 25. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
5. Claim 94 recites the limitation "the mobile content" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 33, 45, 56, and 101-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Logan et al (hereinafter "Logan", 5,732,216).
8. As per Claim 33, Logan discloses a method for providing directed information, including advertising and non-advertising content, to a playback device based on a closed loop operation, wherein Logan discloses:

receiving user information (subscriber preferences / demographics) (at least col. 5, lines 33-45);

obtaining directed information from one or more information sources based at least in part on the user information (at least col. 7, lines 16-25);

formatting the directed information into a content package, the content package including one or more content segments (ordering segment sequencing) (at least col. 7, lines 35-40; col. 7, line 50 - col. 8 line 8);

assigning a unique identifier to each content segment within the content package (ProgramID) (at least Fig. 5; col. 7, lines 30-34);

delivering the content package along with the unique identifier for each content segment of the content package to the playback device (downloading files) (at least col. 7, lines 20-30); and

receiving response information from the playback device, the response information including the unique identifier of a particular portion of a previously delivered content segment associated with the response (program segments desired by subscriber upload and response associated with playing of segment) (at least col. 6, lines 9-26; col. 17, lines 42-61).

9. As per Claim 45, Logan discloses a mobile application server comprising:

a website accessible to a user for inputting user information comprising a user name, profile information, preference information, and scheduling information (HTML forms for account initialization) (at least col. 9, lines 5-41);

a user database for storing the user information (at least col. 5, lines 32-45); and

a server application for retrieving the preference information (subscriber preferences / demographics) (at least col. 5, lines 33-45), retrieving web content from a

Art Unit: 2157

content source (at least col. 7, lines 16-25), creating information content segments for the user based at least in part on the preference information and the web content (compiling files) (at least col. 7, lines 15-20), creating a content package (selections file along with segments) (at least col. 11, lines 21-25), and delivering the content package to a playback device (downloading files) (at least col. 7, lines 20-30), said server application further functional to receive response information associated with a particular portion of a previously downloaded segment from the playback device and initiate an action based on the response information (program segments desired by subscriber upload and response associated with playing of segment) (at least col. 6, lines 9-26; col. 17, lines 42-61).

10. As per Claim 56, Logan discloses a method of delivering content and receiving responses pertaining to the content, wherein the playback device includes a user interface that can be actuated by a user, wherein Logan discloses:

aggregating a content package, the content package including at least one content segment (selections file along with segments) (at least col. 11, lines 21-25);

delivering the content package to a playback device (downloading files) (at least col. 7, lines 20-30);

receiving a response from the playback device, the response being associated with a particular content segment by the user actuating the user interface during the playback of a particular portion of the content segment (program segments desired by subscriber upload and response associated with playing of segment) (at least col. 6, lines 9-26; col. 17, lines 42-61); and

initiating actions based on the particular portion of the content segment with which the responses is associated (processing for download compilation) (at least col. 6, lines 9-26).

11. As per Claim 101.

wherein the response information is associated with the particular portion of the content segment by including a time-stamp (date and timestamp of response) (at least col. 43, lines 5-15).

12. As per Claim 102.

wherein the response information is associated with the particular portion of the content segment by including a time-stamp, and if the time-stamp indicates a first sub-segment of the content segment, a purchase transaction is initiated and if the time-stamp indicates a second sub-segment of the content segment, a different action is taken (at least col. 43, lines 5-15; col. 10 line 54 - col. 11 line 3; col. 22, lines 10-20).

13. As per Claim 103.

wherein the response information is associated with the particular portion of the content segment by including a time-stamp, and if the time-stamp indicates a first sub-segment of the content segment, the user is placed on a mailing list and if the time-stamp indicates a second sub-segment of the content segment, a different action is taken (emailing comment according to timestamp) (at least col. 41 line 59 - col. 43 line 15; col. 10 line 54 - col. 11 line 3; col. 22, lines 10-20).

14. As per Claim 104.

Art Unit: 2157

wherein the response information is associated with the particular portion of the content segment by including a time-stamp, and if the time-stamp indicates a first sub-segment of the content segment, the information will be sent to the user and if the time-stamp indicates a second sub-segment of the content segment, a different action is taken (at least col. 43, lines 5-15; col. 10 line 54 - col. 11 line 3; col. 22, lines 10-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

16. Claims 1, 6, and 93-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Logan et al (hereinafter "Logan", 5,732,216) in view of Kikinis (hereinafter "Kikinis", 6,055,566).

17. As per Claim 1, Logan discloses a closed loop system for delivering information obtained from an information content source to a playback device, wherein Logan discloses:

a mobile-content server comprising:

Art Unit: 2157

a website interconnected to the information content source, operating on the mobile-content server and available for access by a user (HTML forms for account initialization) (at least col. 9, lines 5-41);

a database for storing, among other things, user information (at least col. 5, lines 32-45); and

a server application operating on the mobile-content server and enabling the mobile-content server to:

retrieve the user information from the database (subscriber preferences / demographics) (at least col. 5, lines 33-45);

obtain content segments from the information content source (data source) (at least col. 7, lines 16-25; col. 35, lines 64-67);

create a content package including at least one content segment (selections file along with segments) (at least col. 11, lines 21-25);

deliver the content package to a client platform (downloading files) (at least col. 7, lines 20-30); and

receive response information from the client platform, the response information being associated with only a portion of a previously delivered content segment (program segments desired by subscriber upload and response associated with playing of segment) (at least col. 6, lines 9-26; col. 17, lines 42-61).

the client platform comprising:

a web browser for interacting with the website operating on the mobile-content server (at least col. 9, lines 6-10); and

a client application operating on the client platform and enabling the client platform to:

download the content package from the mobile-content server to the playback device (downloading files) (at least col. 7, lines 20-30);

receive response information initiated by a user of the playback device, the response information identifying a requested action and the requested action based on the portion of a currently active segment with which the response information is associated (program segments desired by subscriber upload according to serialized current program and user-recorded comments) (at least col. 6, lines 9-26; col. 18, lines 54-67; col. 17, lines 43-60); and

deliver the response information to the mobile-content server (uploading program selections) (at least col. 4, lines 26-37).

Logan does not disclose the structural relationship of a playback device being connected to a client platform, which is then connected to the server. However, the use and advantages for using such a structure is well known to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by the teachings of Kikinis. Kikinis discloses a playback device that can be disconnected from a PC client, the client acting as a middleman between the playback device and the server (at least col. 2 line 66 - col. 3 line 17). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the use of having a portable device for use

of playing back as this would offer mobility to a user when a user cannot be stationary, as Logan suggests mobile use (at least col. 6, lines 52-61).

18. As per Claim 6.

wherein the server application assigns a unique identifier to each content segment and maintains a database of unique identifiers and associated content segments and further partitions each content segment into one or more sub-segments (ProgramID) (at least Fig. 5; col. 7, lines 30-34).

19. As per Claim 93.

wherein the response information includes a response type (eg. public or private comments) (at least col. 17, lines 55-61).

20. As per Claim 94.

wherein the server application operating on the mobile content further enables the mobile content server to perform a particular action associated with the received response (user recorded comments being public or private or host-only) (at least col. 17, lines 55-61).

21. As per Claim 95.

wherein the particular action is selected from a plurality of possible actions based on the particular portion of the content segment with which the response is associated (public, private, host) (at least col. 17, lines 55-61).

22. As per Claim 96.

wherein the particular action is selected from a plurality of possible actions based on the position in time in which the particular portion is situated within the content

Art Unit: 2157

segment (downloading of next installment in a serialized playlist from a specific time (episode 3) (at least col. 18 line 43 - col. 19 line 20).

23. As per Claim 97.

wherein a response information associated with a particular portion of the content segment indicates a request for further information regarding the content segment (next episode of a plurality of serial episodes) (at least col. 18, line 43 - col. 19 line 20).

24. As per Claim 98.

wherein a response information associated with a particular portion of the content segment indicates a request to initiate a purchase transaction (subscriber payments for segments) (at least col. 10 line 54 - col. 11 line 3; col. 22, lines 10-20).

25. As per Claim 99.

wherein the response information is associated with the particular portion of the content segment based on when a response button is activated by a user (eg, selecting a link) (at least col. 42, lines 28-47).

26. As per Claim 100.

wherein the response information includes a content segment identifier and a time-stamp, the time-stamp indicating a relative portion of (at least col. 43, lines 5-15).

Conclusion

27. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Newly cited Kokhab, Morrison, Towell et al, Wegener et al, Abecassis, and Reilly et al in addition to previously cited Hidary (cell phone advertising), Owensby (location-based targeted advertising), Lederman (phone advertising), Thomas (demographic customization with advertising), Tjaden (personalized audio delivery / text-speech), Wynblatt et al (targeted advertising to mobile terminal), Story et al (playback device listening to different segment types), Goldhaber et al (ad response benefits), Mott et al (playback device authentication), Park (PDA-server communications), Ohkubu et al (information associating for searches), Hoyle (computer targeted advertising), and Katz et al (mobile playback device with libraries of data, no advertising) are cited for disclosing pertinent information related to the claimed invention. Applicants are requested to consider the prior art reference for relevant teachings when responding to this office action.

28. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory G Todd whose telephone number is (703)305-5343. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (703)308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.


Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory Todd



Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2100



ARIO/ETIENNE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100